

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 83-5-6889b; FRL-5165-6]

**Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Bay Area Air Quality Management District****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from organic liquid bulk terminals and bulk plants, surface coating of miscellaneous metal parts and products, aerospace assembly and component coating operations, flexible and rigid disc manufacturing, gasoline bulk terminals, gasoline bulk plants, and gasoline delivery vehicles. One of the rules concerns the submittal of VOC and oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions data to the district.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of VOCs and NO<sub>x</sub> in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by May 3, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 939 Ellis Street, San Francisco, CA 94109.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Helen Liu, Rulemaking Section [A-5-3], Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns Bay Area Air Quality Management District Rule 8.6, Organic Liquid Bulk Terminals and Bulk Plants, Rule 8.19, Surface Coating of Miscellaneous Metal Parts and Products, Rule 8.29, Aerospace Assembly and Component Coatings Operations, Rule 8.33, Gasoline Bulk Terminals and Gasoline Delivery Vehicles, Rule 8.38, Flexible and Rigid Disc Manufacturing, Rule 8.39, Gasoline Bulk Plants and Gasoline Delivery Vehicles, and Rule 2.1, Section 429, Emissions Statement. These rules were all submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA on September 28, 1994, except for Rule 8.6, which was submitted on May 24, 1994, and Rule 2.1, Section 429, which was submitted on October 19, 1994. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: February 15, 1995.

**Felicia Marcus,**  
*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-8043 Filed 3-31-95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[IL91-1-6279b; FRL-5169-5]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The USEPA proposes to approve the site-specific State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision request submitted by the State of Illinois on January 25, 1994, for Quantum Chemical Corporation's facility located in Morris, Illinois. This site-specific SIP revision alters certain Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) regulations contained within 35 Illinois Administrative Code (IAC) Part 218 as they apply to specific units or plants within this facility. This approval is based upon sufficient demonstration that factors relating to this facility are substantially and significantly different from those relied upon in adopting 35 IAC Part 218, and that these factors warrant a corresponding adjustment of its RACT requirements. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the USEPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. Please be aware that USEPA will institute another comment period on this action only if warranted by significant revisions to the rulemaking based on any comments received in response to the direct final rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this notice should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before May 3, 1995. If no such comments are received, USEPA hereby advises the public that the direct final rule will be effective on June 2, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18-J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Mark J. Palermo, Regulation

Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18-J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6082.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 23, 1995.

**Robert Springer,**

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-8039 Filed 3-31-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[IL104-1-6697b; FRL-5158-8]

##### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) proposes to approve Illinois' November 28, 1994, request to amend the marine vessel loading rules for the Chicago and Metro-East areas as part of the State's 15 percent (%) Rate of Progress Plan control measures for Volatile Organic Matter emissions. The control measures require marine terminals, from May 1 through September 15, to operate a vapor collection and control system which achieves a 95% control efficiency. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the USEPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this notice should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before May 3, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18-

J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18-J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Rosanne Lindsay, Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-1151.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 9, 1995.

**David A. Ullrich,**

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-8045 Filed 3-31-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[MO-20-1-6442; FRL-5181-8]

##### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision by the state of Missouri that revises the Missouri Part D new source review (NSR) rules, updates and adds numerous definitions, revises the maximum allowable increase for particulate matter under the requirements for prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) of air quality, address emission statements under title I of the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA), and generally enhance the SIP.

This revision generally meets requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA) as Amended in 1990 with regard to NSR in areas that have not attained the national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). However, Missouri is required to make certain changes to the NSR rules, as outlined in this proposal, before EPA can grant final approval to this SIP revision. This implementation plan was submitted by the state to satisfy certain Federal requirements for

an approvable nonattainment NSR SIP for Missouri.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 3, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Robert J. Lambrechts, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Robert J. Lambrechts at (913) 551-7846.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### I. Background

The air quality planning requirements for nonattainment NSR are set out in part D of title I of the Act. EPA has issued a "General Preamble" describing EPA's preliminary views on how EPA intends to review SIP revisions submitted under part D, including those state submittals containing nonattainment area NSR SIP requirements (see 57 FR 13498 (April 16, 1992) and 57 FR 18070 (April 28, 1992)). Because EPA is describing its interpretations here only in broad terms, the reader should refer to the General Preamble for a more detailed discussion of the interpretations of part D advanced in today's proposal and the supporting rationale.

The EPA is currently developing a proposed rule to assist the implementation of the changes under the amended Act in the NSR provisions in parts C and D of title I of the Act. If EPA has not taken final action on the state's NSR submittals by the time the proposed rule is published for comment, EPA may refer to the proposed rule as the most authoritative guidance available regarding the approvability of the submittals. Upon promulgation of the final regulations, EPA will review the NSR SIPs of all states to determine whether additional SIP revisions are necessary.

Prior to EPA approval of a state's NSR SIP submission, the state may continue permitting only in accordance with the new statutory requirements for permit applications completed after the relevant SIP submittal date. This policy was explained in transition guidance memoranda from John Seitz dated March 11, 1991, and September 3, 1992. As explained in the March 11 memorandum, EPA does not believe Congress intended to mandate the more stringent Title I NSR requirements during the time provided for SIP development. States were thus allowed to continue to issue permits consistent with requirements in their current NSR SIPs during that period; or apply 40 CFR part 51, appendix S for newly